

2023 / **FIFA WORLD CUP 2022™**
POST-TOURNAMENT REVIEW & PLAYER SURVEY

FIFPRO PLAYER WORKLOAD MONITORING

Men's Football Report





TABLE OF CONTENTS

01

Welcome / Introduction 4

02

About 5

03

Key Findings (TBD) 6

04

04 - Player Workload: FIFA World Cup 2022™ 8

Insufficient Preparation Time 9

Demanding Tournament Workload & Calendar Congestion 11

Impactful Extended Stoppage Time 16

05

Post-Tournament Restart & Season Ahead 19

Recovery Periods 20

Resumption of Club Activity 21

Physical Fatigue 24

Mental Fatigue 24

Injury Risk 25

06

Individual Player Focus 28

07

Methodology 33

01 / WELCOME / INTRODUCTION

9 days is not enough time to prepare for one of the biggest tournaments in the world. I thought that you would work your way into your tactics rather than feeling rushed.



In our team there were players that got injured right before the tournament and couldn't recover in time for the World Cup. When you have 15 days, you can have enough time to recover, but unfortunately with only 7 days of preparation time, it was not possible for them to make it.



We tell ourselves that we need to be strong and work harder, but it is difficult both mentally and emotionally and I am already feeling it.



We need to put more emphasis on the rest time that international players get. We have another international window in March with matches, the workload is huge and there is not enough rest. If they (competition organisers) really prioritised player welfare, then We should have at least 7 to 10 days of rest.



It seemed bizarre to me that they had to return so quickly - it was practically suicide. They could've gotten injured but luckily, they didn't.



I found it difficult to re-start the season this year (in 2023). Not just because of the cold weather... but also because of the workload from the previous year.



02 / ABOUT FIFPRO PLAYER IQ: WORKLOAD

FIFPRO PLAYER IQ

This publication has been developed by FIFPRO Player IQ, a player-focused knowledge centre that aims to help shape decision-making in the football industry to protect and improve the careers and working lives of professional footballers.



For more, visit <https://fifpro.org/en/player-iq>

FIFPRO PWM PLATFORM

The FIFPRO Player Workload Monitoring (PWM) platform is a digital tool tracking the workload of professional football players from around the world. The platform is an analytics tool that monitors player workload to provide data insights and enable informed decisions to be taken in relation to future competitive scheduling and sustainable competition structures by prioritising player health, performance, and career longevity.

The data-rich database within the PWM platform is the source of the analysis presented within this workload report. The FIFPRO PWM platform has recently been updated and now features almost 1,800 professional footballers, with over 350,000 match appearances on record among their workload journeys since 2018.



The FIFPRO PWM platform, operated jointly by FIFPRO and Football Benchmark, is freely accessible at the [FIFPRO website](#) and at the [Football Benchmark website](#).

FOOTBALL BENCHMARK

Football Benchmark is a digital data & analytics platform that includes financial and operational performance data from more than 250 European and South American professional football clubs and social media performance metrics of hundreds of football clubs and players. The business intelligence tool also provides market value estimates for 8,000+ players, covering the best leagues of the UEFA, CONMEBOL and AFC confederations.



03

KEY FINDINGS FIFA WORLD CUP 2022™ POST-TOURNAMENT REVIEW & PLAYER SURVEY RESULTS

FIFPRO **PLAYER IQ** WORKLOAD
In cooperation with
FB FOOTBALL BENCHMARK

INDUSTRY GOVERNANCE: MACRO CAUSES

MATCH CALENDAR CANNIBALISATION

COMPETITION TIMING

COMPETING COMMERCIAL INTERESTS

AGGRAVATING FACTORS: WC 2022

PREPARATION & RECOVERY TIME


Unprecedentedly Short Preparation & Recovery Periods Must Not Be Repeated



86% OF PLAYERS want at least 14 days preparation time



61% OF PLAYERS want a minimum of 14-28 days recovery time



WORKLOAD

High Workload Demands Place Strain Upon Players, Clubs And Leagues

33,614
TOTAL MINUTES PLAYED
by Premier League players
at World Cup 2022

17
NUMBER OF FC BARCELONA PLAYERS
at World Cup 2022, the
highest of any club

STOPPAGE TIME

Workload Impact Of Stoppage Time Changes Must Be Accounted For

11.6
MINUTES OF STOPPAGE TIME
on average, in World Cup 2022 matches

4.8%
LIKELY INCREASE IN TOTAL MINUTES PER SEASON
of an elite player if change implemented generally (equivalent to almost 3 full matches)

RECOVERY & RESTART

Immediate Resumption Of Club Competitions Further Endangers Player Health

4 DAYS
Kamil Glik had between his national team's elimination and his first club appearance post-World Cup

55%
OF WORLD CUP PARTICIPATING PLAYERS
were already back playing club matches by January 1st 2023

PLAYER HEALTH & WELFARE

MENTAL FATIGUE



20% OF SURVEYED WORLD CUP PLAYERS

felt extremely high levels of mental and emotional fatigue compared to usual at this point of the season (in January).

PHYSICAL FATIGUE



44% OF SURVEYED WORLD CUP PLAYERS

experienced extreme or increased physical fatigue compared to usual at this point of the season (in January).

INJURY RISK



53% OF SURVEYED WORLD CUP PLAYERS

reported an injury or felt more likely to suffer one this season due to the extremely congested fixture calendar.

UNSUSTAINABLE CAREERS



3,722
MINUTES

MATCH OVERLOAD

Harry Kane

3,722 Minutes played in total between July 1st and January 30th (40+ Matches)

15
MATCHES

BACK-TO-BACK MATCHES

Achraf Hakimi


15 Consecutive matches back-to-back between August & October

8
DAYS

SHORT SEASON BREAKS

Raphaël Varane

8 Days between last World Cup match and first club matchday



04

PLAYER WORKLOAD: FIFA WORLD CUP 2022™

The FIFA World Cup 2022™ was a highly demanding tournament for the players, with high-intensity matches and various aggravating factors affecting player workload. In this section, we highlight some of the workload related factors of the tournament and examine their impact on the players.

INSUFFICIENT PREPARATION TIME

The 2022 tournament marked a significant departure from tradition as it was the first edition of the tournament held during the winter. Most players arrived in Qatar only days before the tournament, expected to perform at their best after a physically and mentally demanding period of club matches in their domestic leagues and continental competitions.

DEMANDING TOURNAMENT WORKLOAD & CALENDAR CONGESTION

Due to the winter timing, the FIFA World Cup 2022™ had a compressed schedule with 64 matches played in just 29 days. This put intense physical demands on the players. Even with 32 participating nations, the tournament became the shortest one since the 1978 tournament which featured only 16 teams.

IMPACTFUL EXTENDED STOPPAGE TIME


During the 2022 tournament, players experienced extended stoppage times as referees were instructed to follow a new interpretation. During this immensely congested season, this change led to several matches that were unusually long, often exceeding 100 minutes in length.



INSUFFICIENT PREPARATION TIME

86% OF PLAYERS WANT A PREPARATION PERIOD OF AT LEAST 14 DAYS, WITH THE VAST MAJORITY SEEKING 14-21 DAYS

What would be the ideal number of preparation days with your national team pre-tournament?



Less than 50% of players believed they had sufficient time to prepare for the 2022 FIFA World Cup™, especially those whose teams progressed to at least the quarter-finals. A significant 75% of surveyed players from these teams reported inadequate time to prepare for the tournament in Qatar. Regarding the optimal preparation time, the majority (around 68%) of the players surveyed felt that ideally it should be between 14 and 21 days.

Notably, players of Saudi Arabia and host nation Qatar avoided this challenge as their federations organised pre-tournament training camps lasting for one and six months, respectively. However, these were exceptional cases where players could be taken out from their club setting for an extended period of time.


9 days is not enough time to prepare for one of the biggest tournaments in the world. I thought that you would work your way into your tactics rather than feeling rushed.

(Player Quote, FIFPRO Post-Tournament Player Survey)




ONLY 11% OF PLAYERS FAVOUR THE NOVEMBER/DECEMBER TIMING FOR THE FIFA WORLD CUP™

Timing of future World Cups



Players were also surveyed about their preference for the timing of future World Cups. It is telling that only 11% indicated that they would favour a winter World Cup, while the majority still prefer the traditional June/July scheduling. This implies that having experienced the challenges brought on by the disrupted calendar, the majority would not like to go through it again. Interestingly, of the players surveyed who had also participated in previous World Cup tournament/s, none of them players were in favour of the winter scheduling.

FIFPRO PWM Statistical Evidence: Inadequate Preparation Time: A Cause for Concern



Source: Football Benchmark analysis

According to the data, nearly 68% of players selected for the final squad lists had less than two weeks to prepare for the tournament. This was clearly not optimal as confirmed by the responses we received from the survey. Even more concerning is that approximately 25% of World Cup players had only one week between their last club appearance and the start of the tournament. As highlighted earlier, the players of Qatar and Saudi Arabia represent the largest portion of the players who had more than 3 weeks in between their last club match and the start of the FIFA World Cup™, but their cases were quite unique.


CASE STUDY: Key Players injured shortly before the tournament

With only a short period of time to prepare for the tournament, even minor injuries in the weeks leading up to the World Cup were met with great concern. As a result of the extremely short preparation time, some players were forced to sit out the tournament due to injuries, while others raced against time to regain their fitness before the tournament's start.

Among the key players who arrived in Qatar despite sustaining an injury in the weeks prior, were Richarlison (Brazil), Son Heung-min (Korea Republic) and Angel Di María (Argentina). On the other hand, Diego Jota (Portugal), Reece James (England) and Timo Werner (Germany) were high-profile examples of players who unfortunately missed the tournament as their recovery period extended beyond the start of the World Cup.

In our team there were players that got injured right before the tournament and couldn't recover in time for the World Cup. When you have 15 days, you can have enough time to recover, but unfortunately with only 7 days of preparation time, it was not possible for them to make it.

(Player Quote, FIFPRO Post-Tournament Player Survey)



DEMANDING TOURNAMENT WORKLOAD & CALENDAR CONGESTION

The FIFA World Cup Qatar 2022™ posed never-before-seen workload challenges amidst an already congested calendar, compounded by the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the previous seasons' schedules. Players at the top of the game were pushed to their limits as many of them experienced an alarming increase in their already heavy workload.

Workload Overview: 2022/23

Top 20 players by minutes played in 2022/23 so far¹
From 1st August 2022 until 1st January 2023

Name	National Team	Club	Position	Appearances made	Minutes played in all competitions	World Cup 2022 Minutes
1 N. Otamendi		SL Benfica	DF	33	3,266	796
2 E. Fernández		SL Benfica	MD	35	2,994	651
3 H. Kane		Tottenham Hotspur FC	FW	31	2,943	451
4 V. van Dijk		Liverpool FC	DF	29	2,897	543
5 K. Mbappé		Paris Saint-Germain FC	FW	31	2,847	691
6 B. Fernandes		Manchester United FC	MD	30	2,748	398
7 Z. Debast		RSC Anderlecht	DF	29	2,741	-
8 A. Hakimi		Paris Saint-Germain FC	DF	32	2,680	694
9 C. Gakpo		PSV Eindhoven	FW	30	2,664	494
10 P. Højbjerg		Tottenham Hotspur FC	MD	28	2,662	301
11 L. Messi		Paris Saint-Germain FC	FW	28	2,656	796
12 J. Bellingham		BV Borussia 09 Dortmund	MD	28	2,608	471
13 Marquinhos		Paris Saint-Germain FC	DF	29	2,582	474
14 D. Rice		West Ham United FC	MD	29	2,573	479
15 Y. Fofana		AS Monaco FC	MD	32	2,564	263
16 I. Perišić		Tottenham Hotspur FC	MD	33	2,555	728
17 Rodri		Manchester City FC	MD	28	2,543	433
18 D. Sow		Eintracht Frankfurt	MD	30	2,542	279
19 G. Xhaka		Arsenal FC	MD	29	2,540	397
20 J. Kimmich		FC Bayern München	MD	28	2,538	305

¹Note: Total minutes include stoppage time minutes

²Note: The Club column shows the club the player was playing for during the analysed period.

Source: Football Benchmark analysis

The players who represented their national teams in the knock-out stages of the World Cup are among those who also had the highest workload in terms of total minutes in the 2022/23 season so far.

Leading the ranking is World Champion Nicolás Otamendi, who has played over 3,000 minutes already, followed by fellow teammate for both club and country,

Enzo Fernández, and England's Harry Kane. Besides the two SL Benfica players, Zeno Debast from Anderlecht is the only player in the top rankings who plays for a team outside of the European "Big Five" leagues. He recorded close to 3,000 minutes in just four months, even though he did not play a single minute at the 2022 FIFA World Cup™.

I found it difficult to re-start the season this year (in 2023). Not just because of the cold weather... but also because of the workload from the previous year.

(Player Quote, FIFPRO Post-Tournament Player Survey)




WORLD CUP SQUAD WORKLOAD - COMBINED MINUTES

At the 2022 FIFA World Cup™, Brazil were the only team to utilize all 26 players from their squad. Besides the “Canarinha”, five other national teams - Switzerland, Portugal, Morocco, France, and Argentina - used more than 90% of their squads. In contrast, Wales and Ecuador had the lowest squad utilization rate with 69%.

Naturally, total minutes played at the 2022 FIFA World Cup™ are closely correlated with the final number of matches each team had, but Argentina, the eventual winners, still accumulated more than the rest of the final four as they had to play extra time on multiple occasions on their road to World Cup glory.

Total minutes played by the squads and the percentage of players they utilized




Source: Football Benchmark analysis

WORLD CUP PLAYER WORKLOAD - MOST MINUTES AND LONGEST DISTANCE COVERED

There is a direct correlation between the minutes played and the distance covered during. Apart from goalkeepers, the players that accumulate the most minutes usually experience the highest physical workload in terms of distance covered. Cross-tabulating official physical data from the FIFA match reports with the minutes played at the tournament, it is not a surprise that players from the top four national teams lead the ranking in both metrics. Purely based on the numbers, Nicolás Otamendi (Argentina), Josko Gvardiol (Croatia) and Sofyan Amrabat (Morocco) experienced the most demanding tournament: all three of them played all available minutes of their respective teams while covering considerable distances while on the pitch.

Players with the most minutes played and highest distance covered at the 2022 FIFA World Cup™




Source: Football Benchmark analysis

IN-TOURNAMENT RECOVERY DAYS

The 2022 FIFA World Cup™ in Qatar featured a very condensed schedule: 64 matches were played over just 29 days, resulting in an intense workload for participating players and underlining the substantial physical demands of the tournament.

Analysing the schedules of national teams that progressed to at least to the quarter-finals stage reveals that there were three occasions when a team had three or fewer days of rest between matches. This occurred for Argentina and Brazil just after the group stage, and for Morocco following their semi-final defeat. Morocco also had the shortest recovery time between two games: only around 66 hours passed between the final whistle of their semi-final and the kick-off at their third-place playoff game.

FIFA World Cup™ Matchdays



- Less than 3 days of rest after the last Group stage match's final whistle and the kick-off the Round of 16 match
- Croatia was the only team that played all 7 matches as consecutive back-to-back games
- Less than 3 days of rest after the final whistle against France in the Semi-finals and the kick-off the bronze match
- Less than 3 days of rest after the last Group Stage match's final whistle and the kick-off the Round of 16 match

Source: Football Benchmark analysis


IMPACTFUL EXTENDED STOPPAGE TIME

For the 2022 edition, FIFA introduced a new interpretation of stoppage time. This modification was intended to make up for time lost during matches due to VAR (Video Assistant Referee) checks, the treatment of injuries, increased number of substitutions, penalties, red cards, and extended celebrations or time-wasting. However, the workload impact of this change must not be dismissed. If this new interpretation was adopted more widely across all competitions, players would be forced to cope with longer effective playing times amid their already overloaded match calendars.


53% OF RESPONDENTS LIKED THE NEW INTERPRETATION OF STOPPAGE TIME RULES AT THE FIFA WORLD CUP™ BUT STRESSED THAT WIDESPREAD ADOPTION COULD ONLY BE SUPPORTED IF THE EXTENDED PLAYING TIME IMPACT WAS ACCOUNTED FOR IN FIXTURE PLANNING

When analysing average stoppage times during recent FIFA World Cups, a clear and upward trend is identified. As a result of the new policy, the average stoppage time was around 11.6 minutes at the 2022 tournament (excluding matches that went into extra time). This is a significant, almost 60% increase compared to the World Cup in Russia four years prior and double of what was considered to be the norm in previous decades.

Evolution of Stoppage Time at the FIFA World Cup™




Source: Football Benchmark analysis



Let's carry out a little experiment: **how would a top player's season look like if the same approach of longer stoppage times was enforced in all competitions?** To investigate the potential effects of this approach, we conducted an analysis on a selection of players and calculated how much more they would have played over the course of the 2021/22 season if the same approach had been applied to their matches. This allows us to identify the potential impact that maximizing effective playing time could have on them. Players with at least 60 appearances in 2021/22 were selected who also participated in international club competitions.

Potential impact of the new policy on selected players' playing time (2021/22 actual numbers vs projection)



Source: Football Benchmark analysis

According to the results, it appears that on average, the players in our study would play approximately 4.8% more over the course of the season if the approach of maximizing effective playing time were applied to their team's matches. This translates to almost three full matches, or around 270 additional minutes of on-pitch time. This increase in playing time may seem small, but it could have a significant impact on the players' workload, rest and recovery and conditioning. By requiring players to spend more time on the field, it could put additional strain on their bodies and potentially increase the risk of injury.

It is important that overall player workload is carefully considered in the construction of the future match calendar before the wider implementation of such a change takes place.





05



POST-TOURNAMENT RESTART & THE SEASON AHEAD

The unprecedented winter schedule of the 2022 FIFA World Cup™ posed many challenges for players, especially concerning the short turnaround between the end of the tournament and the resumption of club football. Several players were subject to extremely limited rest and recovery times, increasing the risk of injury. The following chapter focuses on post-tournament league restarts, players returning to their club setting and their post-World Cup workload metrics.

Inadequate Recovery & Resumption

-  Recovery Periods
-  Resumption of Club Activity

The Harmful Effect

-  Physical Fatigue
-  Mental Fatigue
-  Injury Risk




RECOVERY PERIODS

One of the main challenges for players after the conclusion of the 2022 FIFA World Cup™ was the short turnaround between the end of the tournament and the resumption of club football. For many players, this meant that they had very little time to rest and recover after competing in a gruelling and physically demanding international tournament.

61% OF RESPONDENTS ARGUED THAT AT LEAST 14 DAYS OF POST-WORLD CUP RECOVERY TIME WAS NECESSARY BEFORE RETURNING TO THE CLUB ENVIRONMENT

What would be the ideal number of rest & recovery days post-tournament before returning to club training?



Just over half of the players (52%) reported that the time for post-tournament recovery was insufficient or that they were not sure if it was sufficient for them. In addition, a majority of respondents (62%) indicated that they would like to have had at least 14 days for recovery. Notably, league representation had a considerable impact on the responses. Only 38% of England-based players felt that they had enough time for recovery; this is not surprising given that the English Premier League resumed on 26 December, just a week after the World Cup, while the EFL Championship clubs were already back in action during the tournament's knock-out stages. In contrast, 67% of Germany-based players felt positive about the time for recovery, as the Bundesliga resumed only in mid-January.

The timing of return to play varied widely between countries and competitions. Some, such as the second divisions of Italy and Spain, continued despite the World Cup and did not have a break in their schedule. Others, such as the Australian A-League and the Tunisian Ligue 1, resumed shortly after the conclusion of the group stages. In addition, in some countries they even slotted in a round of domestic cup games before the resumption of the league (e.g., there was an EFL Cup round before the restart of the English Premier League).

We need to put more emphasis on the rest time that international players get. We have another international window in March with matches, the workload is huge and there is not enough rest. If they (competition organisers) really prioritised player welfare, then we should have at least 7 to 10 days of rest.

(Player Quote, FIFPRO Post-Tournament Player Survey)



RESUMPTION OF CLUB ACTIVITY

Considering all players (831) from the official squad lists, 55% (457) of them were already back in action by 1st of January 2023 following their World Cup participation. When analysing the dates between a player's first match after the tournament and the day of their national team's elimination at the World Cup, we find that 13% of them (105) had less than two weeks for rest and recovery before returning to competitive play. This provided them with a very limited time to readjust to different playing conditions and their club setting. Among the top 10 players with the most minutes played at the 2022 FIFA World Cup™, four (Otamendi, Perisic, Modric and Tchouameni) already made an appearance for their clubs in the two weeks that followed their last World Cup match.

The impact of the short turnaround was felt not only by players from top leagues and highly ranked national teams, but also by other players participating in the World Cup in Qatar. The most extreme example among those who made at least one appearance at the tournament was Poland's Kamil Glik, who had only four days between elimination in the round of 16 and his first appearance for Benevento Calcio in Italy's second tier. Similarly, Morocco's Abderrazak Hamdallah, had only a 5-day "recovery" period between his national team's bronze match against Croatia and his first club appearance post-World Cup in the Saudi King's Cup. Other examples with alarmingly short days between their last appearance in Qatar and the first one upon returning include Karim Ansarifard (Iran and Omonia Nicosia - Cypriot 1st Division), Krystian Bielik (Poland and Birmingham City - EFL Championship), Otávio (Portugal and FC Porto - Portuguese Primeira Liga) and Iliman Ndiaye (Senegal and Sheffield United - EFL Championship).

Number of days between the respective national team's last World Cup match and a player's first post-World Cup club appearance (As of 1st January 2023)



Source: Football Benchmark analysis

We tell ourselves that we need to be strong and work harder, but it is difficult both mentally and emotionally and I am already feeling it.

(Player Quote, FIFPRO Post-Tournament Player Survey)



PLAYER IMPACT ON LEAGUES & CLUBS


The burden of player participation on leagues

The composition of an average squad at the World Cup includes players at various stages of their careers and development, representing a wide range of leagues and clubs from around the globe. For the 2022 edition, 55 different leagues had at least one player at the tournament. Compared to previous editions, the 2022 FIFA World Cup™ was the most diverse in this regard. The analysis of minutes played, and the number of players involved from each league reveals the dominance of the so-called "Big Five" leagues of Europe. The Premier League is the standout in this ranking: 134 players from the English top division accumulated more than 33,000 minutes during the tournament. This is almost equal to combined record of the second and third ranked leagues (Spanish La Liga and Italian Serie A). This ultimately implies that a majority of elite players tend to play in a select group of leagues. These are often very competitive and include clubs that also play in international competitions; together, this places a significant workload on players during the season. On top of to their regular workload, they were now subjected to a mid-season interruption and additional matches at the World Cup.

Another notable league high up in the ranking is the Saudi Pro League: their players logged the fifth most minutes with 5,600 in total. This is largely due to the fact that all squad members of the Saudi Arabian national team play in their domestic league. Other non-European leagues that were heavily represented in terms of accumulated minutes of their players were Qatar (Stars League), Mexico (Liga MX) and the United States (MLS). What is common in all four is the low number of national team players that ply their trade abroad. In contrast to this, the squads of Canada, Senegal and Wales entirely consisted of players representing foreign leagues.

Our analysis shows that there is a direct correlation between the number of players representing a domestic league and their total minutes played at the World Cup. However, there are some whose players experienced higher workload than expected. For example, the Portuguese Primeira Liga had 20 players at the tournament who played 4,566 total minutes, placing them above the trend line. The Dutch Eredivisie and Scottish Premiership were also similar. This is mainly due to the fact that the Portuguese and Dutch national teams relied heavily on players from their own domestic leagues and had players of their nationalities who made a deep run at the World Cup.

Total minutes played at the 2022 FIFA World Cup™ and number of players by league




Source: Football Benchmark analysis

Clubs most affected by World Cup player participation

As the results of the league level analysis foreshadowed, English Premier League teams dominate the ranking when we order clubs ordering by their players World Cup workload. At the top end, 16 Manchester City FC players reached close to 5,200 combined World Cup minutes; they are followed by FC Barcelona with 4,741 minutes (17 players) and Manchester United FC with 4,364 minutes (14 players).

Clubs that almost made it into the top 10 include the likes of AFC Ajax (11 players and 2,533 minutes), Al Sadd FC from Qatar (2,363 mins) and Al-Hilal from the Saudi Pro League (2,248 mins).



Source: Football Benchmark analysis

THE HARMFUL EFFECT


The harmful effect on players as a result of this season's gruelling schedule continues to become more evident as the season progresses. While it is common for physical and mental fatigue to be felt by players following an intense tournament like the World Cup, usually this is closely followed by an off-season period for rest and recovery. This time around, this is not the case, and the worrying feedback presented below highlights the dangers and severe risk of injury being experienced by players throughout the remainder of the season, with many training and playing at dangerously high levels of fatigue. The consistent imbalance between workload and recovery is likely to lead to injuries, both physical and mental, to players.

MENTAL FATIGUE

20% OF PLAYERS REPORTED FEELING EXTREMELY HIGH LEVELS OF MENTAL FATIGUE COMPARED TO HOW THEY USUALLY FEEL AT THIS TIME OF THE SEASON (JANUARY) WITH A FURTHER 23% FEELING MORE MENTAL FATIGUE THAN USUAL

Mental health issues refer to the psychological and emotional conditions that impact an individual's mood, thinking, behaviour, or feelings. These problems encompass various forms of burnout, anxiety, depression, substance abuse, or sleep disorders. Football players are particularly susceptible to these issues due to a range of stressors.

Mental/Emotional Fatigue




When asked about their mental health in the FIFPRO World Cup 2022 Player Survey, 20% of footballers reported experiencing extremely high levels of mental or emotional fatigue compared to usual at this point in a regular season. These effects were particularly pronounced among England-based players, with 63% indicating extreme mental or emotional fatigue. The quick restart of English competitions post-World Cup combined with the high number of participating players provides an explanation for this alarming figure.

PHYSICAL FATIGUE

44% OF PLAYERS EXPERIENCED EXTREME OR INCREASED PHYSICAL FATIGUE COMPARED TO HOW THEY USUALLY FEEL AT THIS TIME OF THE SEASON (JANUARY)

Physical fatigue is a common problem among football players, particularly during periods of high-intensity competitions. Overtraining, frequent travel, and lack of adequate rest and recovery can all contribute to physical exhaustion, which in turn can lead to decreased performance, increased risk of injury, and other negative health outcomes.

Physical Fatigue




In terms of physical fatigue, a significant proportion of players, around 44%, reported experiencing extreme or increased levels of fatigue compared to how they usually feel at this time of the season.

Unfortunately, as we have seen in the post-tournament period, this accumulated fatigue has likely contributed to a number of leading player suffering soft-tissue injuries as extreme fixture congestion continues.

INJURY RISK

53% OF PLAYERS REPORTED AN INJURY OR FELT MORE LIKELY TO SUFFER AN INJURY DUE TO THE CONGESTED CALENDAR

Injury due to fixture calendar



According to the FIFPRO survey, 52% of players reported suffering an injury or feeling more susceptible to injury due to the congested schedule. Interestingly, this trend was more prominent among non-first time World Cup players, who tend to be older, more experienced, and have a better understanding of their bodies, with 75% of them reporting such injuries or susceptibility.

It seemed bizarre to me that they had to return so quickly - it was practically suicide. They could've gotten injured but luckily, they didn't.

(Player Quote, FIFPRO Post-Tournament Player Survey)

Our data collection seems to back up this finding. Since the start of the World Cup, there have been 66 players who suffered an injury, according to media reports. This equates to approximately 8% of all players who participated at the tournament in Qatar. These players had 76 injuries in total as some recorded more than one. It is also strongly assumed that minor injuries often go unreported, hence the actual number could even be higher.

A large portion (58%) of reported injuries occurred during the World Cup, while 42% happened after the conclusion of the tournament. Key players for both club and country such as Virgil Van Dijk (Liverpool FC), Aurelien Tchouameni (Real Madrid CF), Marcelo Brozovic (FC Internazionale), Angel Di Maria (Juventus FC) all picked up an injury very soon upon returning to their clubs.





06

INDIVIDUAL
PLAYER FOCUS

ACHRAF HAKIMI



WORKLOAD HIGHLIGHTS IN NUMBERS

Period Analyzed: From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023

Total minutes played in all competitions combined, including friendlies during the period under review



Percentage of back-to-back minutes played leading to a lack of rest and recovery time and increasing the risk of injury



The number of consecutive back-to-back matches from August until October; **this is 2.5 times the recommended maximum (6)**

15 MATCHES



RAPHAËL VARANE




WORKLOAD HIGHLIGHTS IN NUMBERS

Period Analyzed: From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023

Total minutes played in all competitions combined, including friendlies during the period under review



Percentage of back-to-back minutes played leading to a lack of rest and recovery time and increasing the risk of injury




The number of days between Rafael Varane's last World Cup appearance and the first matchday after returning to Manchester United FC



EXTREMELY DEMANDING SCHEDULE LEADING UP TO THE WORLD CUP

From 31st August 2022 until 25th October 2022



1,171 minutes in consecutive back-to-back matches

2022/23 SEASON IN DETAIL


From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023



Cumulative minutes played (compared to the average of World Cup 2022 participant players)




Back-to-back matches



VARANE ALWAYS QUICKLY RETURNED TO ACTION AFTER A TOURNAMENT WITH ONLY A LIMITED BREAK ALLOWED TO HIM


Off-season / Post-tournament break (days) before returning to training




The very highest level is like a washing machine, you play all the time and you never stop (...) We have overloaded schedules and play non-stop. Right now, I feel like I'm suffocating and that the player is gobbling up the man.

2022/23 SEASON IN DETAIL


From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023



Cumulative minutes played (compared to the average of World Cup 2022 participant players)



Back-to-back matches



NICOLÁS OTAMENDI



WORKLOAD HIGHLIGHTS IN NUMBERS

Period Analyzed: From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023

Total number of minutes played in all competitions combined, including friendlies during the period under review



Percentage of back-to-back minutes played leading to a lack of rest and recovery time and increasing the risk of injury



The number of days between Nicolás Otamendi's last World Cup appearance and the first matchday after returning to SL Benfica



IN 2022/23 OTAMENDI HAS ALREADY PLAYED AROUND 75% OF THE MINUTES HE ACCUMULATED LAST SEASON

From 31st August 2022 until 25th October 2022




2022/23 SEASON IN DETAIL


From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023



Cumulative minutes played (compared to the average of World Cup 2022 participant players)



Back-to-back matches



HARRY KANE




WORKLOAD HIGHLIGHTS IN NUMBERS

Period Analyzed: From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023

Total number of minutes played in all competitions combined, including friendlies during the period under review



Percentage of back-to-back minutes played leading to a lack of rest and recovery time and increasing the risk of injury



The number of days between Harry Kane's last World Cup appearance and the first matchday after returning to Tottenham Hotspur FC



EXTREMELY DEMANDING SCHEDULE LEADING UP TO THE WORLD CUP

From 26th September 2022 until 12th November 2022




2022/23 SEASON IN DETAIL


From 1st July 2022 until 30th January 2023



Cumulative minutes played (compared to the average of World Cup 2022 participant players)



Back-to-back matches



07 / METHODOLOGY

The findings presented in this flash report are largely based on the methodology and metrics of the FIFPRO Player Workload Monitoring (PWM) platform covering the match, rest & recovery, travel, and other workload statistics of professional footballers from around the world.

OUR ANALYTICAL APPROACH

To put the analytical results of the report into context, it is important to understand the key characteristics of the underlying dataset.

PLAYER SAMPLE

The report focuses on all squad members of participating nations at FIFA World Cup 2022™ in Qatar.



PERIOD ANALYSED

When analysing the workload journey of World Cup players, we assessed all their appearances from 1 August 2022 (the start of the 2022/23 season for most players) until 30 January 2023. In the case of players selected for analysis in Chapter 06, matches played in July 2022 were also considered. There are over 20,000 player appearances on record in the underlying data set. This includes all competitive club and national team appearances of all FIFA World Cup 2022™ participant players.




FIFPRO WORLD CUP 2022 PLAYER SURVEY

Following the tournament in Qatar, FIFPRO conducted a survey in January 2023 among participating World Cup players. A total of 64 selected World Cup players completed the survey. The selected player sample ensured feedback from:

- Every World Cup group
- Every stage of the tournament, including both finalists
- Every FIFPRO division
- A broad range of age profiles participated

- Age
- 32-39
 - 27-31
 - 22-26
 - 16-21



Interestingly, 69% of players surveyed play their club football in Europe. The topics explored in the survey were centred around player workload issues such as preparation and recovery periods. Feedback was also sought on other tournament conditions such as match and tournament timing, weather conditions, and in-tournament rest days. The players also shared their views on the implementation of stoppage time changes, injury risk and reported on their mental and physical fatigue compared to previous seasons.

TERMS & DEFINITIONS

The following terms are used throughout the report to illustrate the workload situation of professional football players. The same principles are applied within the FIFPRO PWM platform.

GLOBAL PLAYER AND COMPETITIONS

FIFPRO PWM SAMPLE – this report analyses match schedule and workload data of the over 800 male football players from 32 national teams taking part in FIFA World Cup 2022™. The analysis covers all matches played by these players in the approximately 16-month period leading up to the tournament, including competitive club and all national team matches.

PLAYER WORKLOAD

PLAYER WORKLOAD – this term refers to all applicable workload indicators such as match workload, rest & recovery, and travel. The concepts of overload and underload relate to the imbalance between the load induced on players (match workload and travel log indicators) and their recovery (rest & recovery indicator). It is important to note that it is the cumulative exposure to overload or underload which really impacts on a player’s health, performance, and career longevity.

MATCH WORKLOAD

MINUTES PLAYED AND APPEARANCES – the number of minutes spent on the pitch by a player during a match. Includes added time at the end of the first and second halves as well as any extra time required for competitions (where applicable). If a player played any length of time in a match, then it is accounted for as an appearance.

MATCH TYPE – matches played by a player are divided into various categories: domestic league, domestic cup, international club competition, national team matches and friendlies.


BACK-TO-BACK MATCH – an appearance is considered as a “back-to-back” appearance if the player did not have at least 5 days of rest and recovery time since their last appearance. It is important to note that it is the cumulative exposure to back-to-back matches, together with travel demands, and shortened off-season or in-season breaks, that constitutes a danger to player health, performance, and career longevity.

REST & RECOVERY

REST TIME – the period (in hours and days) between the end of a player’s previous match and the start of their next match. This is generally the time allocated to rest & recovery and training. According to FIFPRO’s ‘At the Limit’ study from 2019, players need at least 120 hours (5 days) between games to perform at their best and manage injury risk.

OFF-SEASON BREAK – the period given to players between two seasons, without training or matches, to recover and regenerate. Off-season breaks are mandatory, should last at least 28 days (combination of physically inactive and active weeks) and must take place outside the club and national team environment.

IN-SEASON BREAK – the rest period (in calendar days) that a player is permitted to take without matches or training, during a season. In-season breaks are mandatory and should last 14 days. However, they are not always fully respected, given the demanding requirements of the match calendar.



FIFPRO
FOOTBALL
PLAYERS
WORLDWIDE



fifpro.org



info@fifpro.org



+31 23 554 6970



Scorpius 161,
2132 LR Hoofddorp
Netherlands

*This report was realised in association
with Football Benchmark*

*Photo cover by:
Imago*

*Main photo sources:
Imago*